



August 16, 2010

OTAM PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2000 AUS 24 A 7 48

2 18 3 6 4

Julie Orchard Commission Secretary Utah Public Service Commission 160 East 300 South Heber M Wells Building, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84145

RECEVED

Re: Docket No. 01-999-04, In the Matter of State Certification of Rural Carriers' Compliance with 47 U.S.C. Section 254(e)

Dear Mrs. Orchard:

Pursuant to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") mandate in its docket In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45/CC Docket No. 00-256, Fourteenth Report and Order, Twenty-Second Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-45 and Report and Order in CC Docket No. 00-256 (FCC 01-157) (released May 23, 2001), the FCC has adopted a requirement that will be codified in 47 C.F.R. §54.314 that state commissions must file an annual certification with the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) and the FCC stating that all federal high-cost support provided to eligible telecommunications carriers will be used only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.

Accordingly, Bear Lake Communications, #503032, is a rural telephone company that has previously been designated by this Commission as an eligible telecommunications carrier. The Company is complying with the requirements of Section 254(e) and will continue to comply for the period January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011, (the certification period) to be eligible to receive federal USF. The company certifies to the Commission that it will use all federal high-cost support provided to it only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended consistent with the principles of universal service 47 U.S.C. 254. This includes, but is not limited to, trying to meet the goal of the provision of services that are properly supported by the high-cost funds at rates that are reasonably comparable to rates charged for similar services in urban areas.

This is a very critical issue, because if certification is not accomplished by October 1, 2010, then federal support for universal service in the areas served by the rural carriers within Utah will not be provided for at least the first quarter of 2011. (See paragraph 191 of FCC Order.) Accordingly, replacement revenues would become necessary. Any loss of federal support would be a negative outcome for the Rural Companies, their customers and the Commission.

Julie Orchard August 16, 2010

Page 2

In view of the limited time available, we request the Commission issue a certification before October 1, 2010, to USAC and the FCC that our company is eligible to receive Federal USF and is in compliance with the FCC order.

Sincerely,

Eddie L. Cox President

ELC:lc